

Passaic River CAG Strategic Plan for Review and Comment of Focused Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan on the Lower Eight Mile Cleanup
Draft 11/1/13

DATE/milestones	CAG Activities	TASC Activities
October	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Members planning session Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refine technical plan, list of technical issues Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refine outreach plan, create list of public needs, and possible CAG outreach activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
November	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overview of the FFS and what we have learned to date Review revised TASC tables Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Finalize technical issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update summary tables with questions posed Provide input on additional documents that may help prepare the CAG Work with CAG leadership to finalize scope of work Present tables at CAG meeting Review preliminary list of technical issues and provide any feedback and additions
December	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Present final model and modeling results COE presentation on restoration plans Update on CPG modeling and proposal Review draft fact sheet on Alternatives (maybe) Identify/discuss potential priority issues for CAG discussion and recommendation Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preliminary issues for CAG 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review draft FFS, other available materials Draft fact sheet on Alternatives Present any key observations on the FFS at the CAG meeting

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • recommendations Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify key actions for community outreach around the release of the prop plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
January 1/1/13 FFS/PP released?	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation of the proposed plan • Identify/discuss areas for CAG in-depth discussion and review Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify draft set of issues for CAG recommendations Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct agreed outreach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed plan, final FFS • Finalize fact sheet on Alternatives based on the proposed plan • Evaluate life-cycle impacts of alternatives • Present to CAG • Work with technical cttee to ID possible areas for input
February	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Present additional information based on CAG questions on the proposed plan • Discuss scope and focus of CAG recommendations Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare draft CAG recommendations Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct agreed outreach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assist CAG in answering key questions raised • Present information to the CAG • Review draft CAG input for technical accuracy
March 3/1/13 FFS/PP comments due?	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Present additional information based on CAG questions on the proposed plan • Discuss scope and focus of CAG recommendations Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare draft CAG recommendations Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct agreed outreach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review draft CAG input for technical accuracy
April	TBD based on overall schedule	TBD

3/1/13 FFS/PP comments due with 30 day extension	and public comment period	
---	---------------------------	--

Preliminary list of technical issues for CAG discussion and understanding

The following items are issues that are important to the community that may require additional information and understanding than what is presented in the EPA documents and/or are brought into question by the CPG approach and comments on the EPA proposal:

- Assumptions regarding natural recovery rates
- Ability to synchronize natural resource restoration plans with the cleanup activities
- Assumptions, plans and coordination with ship traffic if navigation lanes are dredged, and the overall potential impacts of this deeper dredging
- Assumptions, accuracy and relevant examples regarding dredging rates, and barge/pipeline usage, reliance on bridge openings
- Potential for recontamination from upstream sources
- Assumptions, mitigation, and potential impacts of sediment resuspension
- Human health risk from activities in addition to fish consumption
- Dredging vs. capping assumptions, long-term implications